

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/559,805	04/26/2000	Mitsuhiro Watanabe	13599	2986
23389	7590 04/24/2003			
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			EXAMINER	
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			DELGADO, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)		
	09/559,805	WATANABE, MITSUHIRO		
Office Action Summar	y Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael S. A. Delgado	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this con	nmunication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI  - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxi  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for  - Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70  Status	positions of 37 CFR 1.130(a). In the event, however, may its communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the mum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mor reply will, by statute, cause the application to become nonths after the mailing date of this communication, every 4(b).	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	the sea to the modite is		
closed in accordance with the	ndition for allowance except for formal repractice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	the application			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
1				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	• ·			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	4			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	u to.			
	restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.	,		
10\\\ The drawing(s) filed on 26 Apple	il 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ obje	cted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correcti	ion filed on is: a)☐ approved b)[	disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings	s are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is obje				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1		•		
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No				
1 ⊠ Certified copies of the	priority documents have been received			
2 ☐ Certified copies of the	priority documents have been received	in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified application from the	copies of the priority documents have to a linternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) to action for a list of the certified copies	peen received in this National Stage (a)). s not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) [] The translation of the for	eign language provisional application h	as been received.		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.	.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	_	O CONTROL (AZ) Denor No(a)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO</li> </ol>	Review (PTO-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5		

Application/Control Number: 09/559,805

Art Unit: 2143

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4/26/00 has been considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,490,615 by Dias et al.

In claim 1, Dias teaches about an internet home page data acquisition method comprising the steps of (Fig 7):

transferring an access list held by a client to a cache URL address storage server (Col 8, line 45-55), (Fig 7, 172);

acquiring home page data by a cache server on the basis of the transferred access list (Col 8, line 60-67), (Fig 7, 176); and

transferring the acquired home page data from said cache server to said client upon completion of acquisition of the home page data (Col 8, line 60-67).

Application/Control Number: 09/559,805

Art Unit: 2143

In claim 2, Dias teaches about a method according to claim 1, wherein said client includes a server storage cyclic folder for storing an access list to be transferred to said cache URL address storage server (Col 2, line 15-20), and

the step of transferring the access list comprises transferring the access list retained in said server storage cyclic folder to said cache URL address storage server (Col 8, line 45-55).

In claim 3, Dias teaches about a method according to claim 1, wherein the step of transferring the home page data comprises the steps of:

causing said cache server to connect a line to said client when acquisition of home page data is completed (Col 8, line 60-67); and

transferring the acquired home page data from said cache server to said client after the line is connected (Col 8, line 60-67).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/559,805

Art Unit: 2143

5. Claim 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,490,615 by Dias et al in view of US Patent No. 6,377,993 by Brandt et al.

In claim 4 and 5 Dias teaches all the limitation except in the case where the client initiates the transferring of the home pages from the cache server.

Brandt teaches about a method according to claim 1, wherein the step of transferring the home page data comprises the steps of:

causing said client to connect a line to said cache server when acquisition of home page data is completed (Col 10, lines 50-65); and

transferring the acquired home page data from said cache server to said client after the line is connected (Col 10, lines 50-65).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention for some of ordinary skill to implement a polling scheme to access data from a server in which the data retrieval time varies. It is desirable for a client to receive a response from a server as soon as possible. In operation where the gathering of data varies over a wide range of time, it is inefficient for the client to wait for a predetermine fix time before attempting to retrieve data (Col 10, line 50-67). By polling, the client will be able to retrieve the information from the server as soon as it is available.

In claim 6, Dias teaches about a method according to claim 4, wherein the method further comprises the step of causing said cache server to notify said client of an acquisition end time when the access list is transferred (Col 8, line 60-67), and

the step of connecting the line comprises the step of connecting the line to said cache server at the notified acquisition end time (Col 8, line 60-67).

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2143

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 5,961,602 by Thompson et al, teaches about a method for optimizing off-peak caching of web data.

US Patent No. 5,931,904 by Banga et al, teaches about a method for reducing the delay between the time a data page is requested and the time the data page is displayed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael S. A. Delgado whose telephone number is 703-305-8057. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4.30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703)308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7239 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

WWJ MD

April 17, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**FEUHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**